UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,927	08/04/2003	Ryu Yokoyama	P/1909-163	4959
	7590 05/13/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
	OF THE AMERICAS	HELLNER, MARK		
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/633,927	YOKOYAMA, RYU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Hellner	3663			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) ∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ja</u>	anuary 2009				
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	on of Claims					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
		r election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 -	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲 -	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

The present application has been taken up by a new examiner for prosecution and there are errors that need to be rectified or clarified.

The restriction/election of 12/19/2008 made by the previous examiner was incorrect because claims 1-10 were cancelled by applicant's amendment file 10/2/2008 and, as such, claims 2,4,6,8 are no longer pending.

The previous examiner did not consider the arguments filed 10/2/2008 with respect to claims 11-16.

In an attempt to correct or clarify the file history, the present examiner takes the following positions.

The present examiner has considered applicant's arguments filed 10/2/2008 with respect to claims 11-16 and considers them persuasive. As a result, claims 11-16 are allowable over the art of record.

Applicant has elected claims 2, 4, 6 and 8 in response to the previous examiners election/restriction of 12/19/2008.

The present examiner notes the limitations recited by these claims may or may not be supported by arguments of record, and that the claims are presently cancelled. Application/Control Number: 10/633,927 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

As a result, applicant is required to provide a response clarifying the intended

status of claims 2, 4, 6 and 8 for further prosecution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at

telephone number 571 272 6981.

/Mark Hellner/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663